



I-69 Evansville to Indianapolis, Indiana

**Revised Tier 2 Draft Environmental Impact
Statement**

Section 2: Oakland City to Washington

Volume I

April 2009



Table of Contents

Volume I – Tier 2 Draft Environmental Impact Statement

**Volume II – Appendices
(On CD Accompanying Volume I)**



BLANK PAGE



Table of Contents

Volume I

Errata Immediately Following Table of Contents

SUMMARY

S.1 Introduction S-1
S.2 Tier 1 Study..... S-3
S.3 Proposed Action—Tier 2 Section 2..... S-6
S.4 Important Technical Tools—Tier 2 Studies..... S-6
S.5 Tier 1 Re-evaluation..... S-8
S.6 Scoping, Purpose and Need, and Alternatives Screening S-9
S.7 Cost and Impact Analysis..... S-12
S.8 Preferred Alternative Alignment S-20
S.9 Other Major Governmental Actions in Study Area S-25
S.10 Major Controversies and Unresolved Issues Raised by Agencies and the Public... S-26
S.11 Regulatory Actions Associated With This Project S-29
S.12 Remaining Steps – Tier 2 Process..... S-33
S.13 Glossary of Key Terms..... S-34

CHAPTER 1 – BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction 1-1
1.2 Study Process..... 1-2
1.3 Section 2 (SR 64 to US 50)—Project Location and Description 1-12

CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED

2.1 Statement of Purpose and Need.....2-1
2.2 Transportation Plans and Policies.....2-3
2.3 Needs Assessment2-7
2.4 Public and Agency Input2-11
2.5 Project Goals and Performance Measures2-12

CHAPTER 3 – ALTERNATIVES

3.1 Alternative Development Overview3-1
3.2 Development of Alternatives3-9
3.3 Detailed Performance Analysis of Alternatives3-91
3.4 Description of Alternatives Carried Forward3-100
3.5 Recommended Preferred Alternative.....3-106

CHAPTER 4 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Introduction4-1
4.2 Human Environment (Community Impact Assessment)4-4
4.3 Natural Environment4-58
4.4 Cultural Resources.....4-96
4.5 Hazardous Materials4-110
4.6 Air Quality4-113
4.7 Highway Noise4-116



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

CHAPTER 5 – ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

5.1 Introduction and Methodology5-1

5.2 Social Impacts..... 5-19

5.3 Land Use and Community Impacts 5-37

5.4 Farmland..... 5-83

5.5 Economic Impacts..... 5-97

5.6 Traffic Impacts..... 5-113

5.7 Visual and Aesthetic Impacts 5-131

5.8 Title VI / Environmental Justice..... 5-141

5.9 Air Quality 5-149

5.10 Highway Noise 5-167

5.11 Wild and Scenic Rivers 5-179

5.12 Construction Impacts 5-183

5.13 Historic Resource Impacts 5-191

5.14 Archaeology Impacts..... 5-211

5.15 Mineral Resource Impacts 5-219

5.16 Hazardous Waste Sites..... 5-231

5.17 Threatened and Endangered Species 5-233

5.18 Wildlife Considerations..... 5-287

5.19 Water Resources 5-305

5.20 Forests 5-347

5.21 Karst Impacts 5-375

5.22 Managed Lands and Natural Areas 5-377

5.23 Permits..... 5-383

5.24 Cumulative Impacts..... 5-389

5.25 Energy Impacts 5-429

5.26 Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity 5-433

5.27 Irreversible or Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources..... 5-435

CHAPTER 6 – COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

6.1 Introduction 6-1

6.2 Comparison of Alignment Alternatives 6-3

6.3 Selection of Preferred Alignment Alternative 6-37

CHAPTER 7 – MITIGATION AND COMMITMENTS

7.1 Introduction 7-1

7.2 Major Mitigation Initiatives..... 7-1

7.3 Section 2 Mitigation Measures and Commitments..... 7-11

7.4 Environmental Mitigation Costs..... 7-38



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

CHAPTER 8 – SECTION 4(f)

8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Section 4(f) Resources—Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife Refuges	8-1
8.3	Section 4(f) Resources—Historic and Archaeological Resources	8-4
8.4	Section 6(f) Resources.....	8-10
8.5	Conclusion	8-11

CHAPTER 9 – LIST OF PREPARERS

CHAPTER 10 – DISTRIBUTION OF EIS

CHAPTER 11 – COMMENTS, COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

11.1	Introduction	11-1
11.2	Major Themes	11-2
11.3	Public and Community Outreach	11-9
11.4	Agency Review and Coordination	11-18

CHAPTER 12 – REFERENCES

CHAPTER 13 – GLOSSARY, ACRONYMS, AND INDEX

13.1	Glossary of Terms.....	13-1
13.2	Acronyms	13-14
13.3	Index	13-19



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Tables

SUMMARY

S.7-1	Subsection 1 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-13
S.7-2	Subsection 2 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-13
S.7-3	Subsection 3 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-14
S.7-4	Subsection 4 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-14
S.7-5	Subsection 5 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-15
S.7-6	Subsection 6 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-15
S.7-7	Subsection 7 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-16
S.7-8	Subsection 8 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-17
S.7-9	Subsection 9 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	S-17
S.7-10	Cost Estimates (in millions of Year 2010 Dollars)	S-18
S.8-1	Major Initiatives	S-22
S.8-2	Comparison of Tier 1 FEIS Costs and Impacts to Those of Tier 2 Preferred Alternative	S-25

CHAPTER 1 – BACKGROUND

1-1	Overall Methodologies for Tier 1 and Tier 2 Studies.....	1-4
1-2	Environmental Analysis for Tier 1 and Tier 2	1-5

CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED

2-1	Crash Rate Comparison, Rural Roads.....	2-10
2-2	Section 2 Goals and Performance Measures	2-15

CHAPTER 3 – ALTERNATIVES

3-1	Development of Mainline and Interchange Alternatives.....	3-12
3-2	Refinements Made to Alternative 1 to Develop Alternative A	3-19
3-3	Refinements Made to Alternative 1 to Develop Alternative B	3-19
3-4	Interchanges Evaluated	3-22
3-5	Comparison of Travel Distance to Selected Destination.....	3-92
3-6	Comparison of Travel Time to Selected Destination.....	3-92
3-7	Comparison of Travel Distance to the Interstate System.....	3-93
3-8	Comparison of Travel Time to the Interstate System.....	3-93
3-9	Total Reductions in Distance and Time to Selected Destinations and the Interstate System	3-94
3-10	VMT and VHT Congestion Comparison (Year 2030).....	3-95
3-11	Safety Comparison—Changes in the Annual Number of Crashes, by Type and Location —Build Vs. No-Build	3-97
3-12	Changes in Daily Truck Volumes	3-98
3-13	Section 2 – AADT Traffic Volume Summary (Year 2030)	3-102
3-14	Preliminary Cost Estimates, Year 2010 Dollars	3-103
3-15	Preliminary Alternatives—Estimates of Annual Maintenance Costs	3-104



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Tables, continued

CHAPTER 4 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

4.1-1 Definitions of "Study Area" 4-2
4.2-1 1990-2000 Study Area Population 4-5
4.2-2 1990-2000 Growth Comparison 4-6
4.2-3 2000 Median Age 4-6
4.2-4 Year 2000 Age Distribution 4-7
4.2-5 Comparative Population Characteristics—Race and Ethnicity 4-8
4.2-6 Educational Enrollment and Attainment Characteristics (Year 2000 Census) 4-10
4.2-7 Median Household Income (Year 1999 Dollars) 4-11
4.2-8 Income Distribution of Households (Year 1999 Dollars) 4-11
4.2-9 Poverty Status of Families and Non-Family Householders (Year 1999 Dollars) 4-12
4.2-10 Income and Poverty (Year 1999 Dollars) 4-13
4.2-11 Housing Characteristics (Year 2000) 4-14
4.2-12 Historical Population Growth by County and City 4-18
4.2-13 Projected Population Growth by County 4-18
4.2-14 Summary of Existing Land Use Within the Section 2 Corridor 4-21
4.2-15 Colleges and Universities, Undergraduate Enrollment 4-26
4.2-16 Section 2 Regional Airports 4-34
4.2-17 Agricultural Land Use 4-42
4.2-18 Agricultural Production (Acres) and State Ranking— Daviess, Gibson and Pike Counties—Year 2006 4-43
4.2-19 Production Inventory of Principal Crops—2004 Through 2006: Daviess, Gibson and Pike Counties, Indiana 4-43
4.2-20 Labor Force Characteristics 4-45
4.2-21 Major Employers Within Gibson, Pike, and Daviess Counties 4-46
4.2-22 Employment by Industry 4-47
4.2-23 Employment by Industry – Study Area, Only 4-48
4.2-24 Employment Characteristics by Occupation 4-49
4.2-25 Employment Characteristics by Occupation – Study Area, Only 4-49
4.2-26 Commuting Patterns 4-50
4.2-27 Commuting Patterns – Study Area, Only 4-51
4.2-28 Gibson County, Indiana, Work/Residence Patterns Based on Indiana IT-40 Returns (2006) 4-52
4.2-29 Pike County, Indiana, Work/Residence Patterns Based on Indiana IT-40 Returns (2006) 4-53
4.2-30 Daviess County, Indiana, Work/Residence Patterns Based on Indiana IT-40 Returns (2006) 4-54
4.2-31 Property Tax Rates by Townships Within Study Area 4-55
4.2-32 Assessed Property Values—Gibson, Pike, and Daviess Counties 4-56
4.3-1 Section 2 Corridor Lakes and Ponds, Summary by Type 4-75
4.3-2 Streams and Impairments 4-76
4.4-1 Cultural Periods and Sub-Periods 4-97
4.4-2 Previously-Inventoried Archaeological Sites in the Study Corridor 4-107
4.6-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards 4-115



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Tables, continued

4.7-1 Existing Noise Measurements.....4-117

CHAPTER 5 –ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

5.1-1 Methodology for Analysis of Wetland Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-7
 5.1-2 Methodology for Analysis of Cultural Resource Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-7
 5.1-3 Methodology for Analysis of Threatened and Endangered Species Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2.....5-7
 5.1-4 Methodology for Analysis of Farmland and Agriculture Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2..5-8
 5.1-5 Methodology for Analysis of Land Use Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2.....5-8
 5.1-6 5.1-6 Methodology for Analysis of Water Quality and Floodplain Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2.....5-8
 5.1-7 Methodology for Analysis of Air Quality Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2.....5-8
 5.1-8 Methodology for Analysis of Economic Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-9
 5.1-9 Methodology for Analysis of Social Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-9
 5.1-10 Methodology for Analysis of Cumulative Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-9
 5.1-11 Methodology for Analysis of Noise Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2.....5-9
 5.1-12 Methodology for Analysis of Visual Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-10
 5.1-13 Methodology for Analysis of Traffic and Traffic Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 25-10
 5.1-14 Methodology for Analysis of Forest Impacts in Tier 1 and Tier 2.....5-11
 5.2-1 Estimated Market Value Ranges of Residences & Associated Improvements5-21
 5.2-2 Price Ranges of Available Single Family Residences (July 2008).....5-26
 5.2-3 Total Right-of-Way and Relocation/Displacement – Impacts and Cost Estimates....5-30
 5.3-1 Direct and Indirect Land Use Impacts, by Alternative5-41
 5.3-2 Acres of Predicted Induced Growth with I-69, by County and TAZ.....5-42
 5.3-3 Description of Proposed Local Service Roads for Build Alternatives.....5-47
 5.3-4 Proposed Overpasses, Underpasses, Interchanges, Road Relocations, and Closed Roads at Proposed I-695-50
 5.4-1 Production of Principal Crops—Averages for Years 2004 – 2006, Daviess, Gibson and Pike Counties5-87
 5.4-2 Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Section 2 Build Alternatives.....5-89
 5.4-3 Agricultural Production—Daviess, Gibson and Pike Counties and Indiana5-89
 5.4-4 Estimated Crop Production and Production Loss for Alternatives, by County5-90
 5.4-5 Estimated Annual Crop Production Loss for Section 2 Build Alternatives—Daviess, Gibson and Pike Counties Combined5-91
 5.4-6 USGS National Land Cover Database: Amount of Land Cover Types in Induced Growth TAZs.....5-92
 5.4-7 Summary of Direct Farmland Impacts for Section 2 Alternatives.....5-95
 5.5-1 Estimated Annual Crop Production Loss for Section 2 Build Alternatives5-100
 5.5-2 Year 2030 Average Daily User Cost Analysis - Gibson, Pike, Daviess, Dubois, Knox, and Martin Counties.....5-103
 5.5-3 Year 2030 Average Annual Crash Cost Analysis – Gibson, Pike, Daviess, Dubois, Knox and Martin Counties.....5-104



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Tables, continued

5.5-4 Number of Jobs, Households, and Acres Induced with I-69 within Section 2 Study Area...5-105
5.5-5 Impact on Local Property Tax Base...5-107
5.6-1 Area Roadway Volumes and LOS—Current, No-Build, and Build (Two-Interchange Scenario and Four-Interchange Scenario) Traffic...5-115
5.6-2 Intersection Capacity Analysis—Build Alternative Peak Hour, Four-Interchange Scenario...5-117
5.6-3 Intersection Capacity Analysis—Build Alternative Peak Hour, Two-Interchange Scenario...5-118
5.6-4 Description of Proposed Local Service Roads for Build Alternatives...5-119
5.6-5 Proposed Overpasses, Underpasses, Interchanges, Road Relocations, and Closed Roads at Proposed I-69...5-122
5.8-1 Comparative Population Characteristics—Race and Ethnicity...5-144
5.8-2 1999 Comparative Median Household Income, Per Capita Income, and Percent Living Below Poverty Level...5-145
5.8-3 Comparative Age, Median Household Income, Per Capita Income, and Percent Living Below Poverty Level—Study Area Only...5-146
5.9-1 Maximum 1-Hour and 8-Hour CO Concentrations (PPM)—Hot Spots...5-157
5.9-2 Modeled Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) in Year 2030 – Build vs. No-Build...5-162
5.10-1 Noise Measurement Sites...5-168
5.10-2 FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria...5-169
5.10-3 Existing Noise Measurements...5-169
5.10-4 TNM/Ambient Noise Levels (dBA Leq)...5-172
5.10-5 Summary of Future Noise Levels (dBA Leq) – Future No-Build Condition and Build Alternatives...5-173
5.10-6 Noise Level Impacts – No Build Condition and Build Alternatives...5-174
5.10-7 Noise Barrier Analysis...5-178
5.14-1 Phases of Archaeological Research in Section 2...5-213
5.14-2 Previously Inventoried Archaeological Sites in the Study Area...5-215
5.15-1 Mineral Resources in Right-of-Way of Build Alternatives...5-219
5.15-2 Active Coal Mine Permits within Section 2 Corridor...5-222
5.17-1 Summary of NEPA and Section 7 Consultation History for I-69 Tier 1 & Tier 2...5-235
5.18-1 Section 2 Corridor Habitat and Wildlife Species...5-293
5.18-2 Potential Wildlife Habitat Impacts, by Alternative...5-294
5.19-1 USFWS NWI Mapped Wetlands in Section 2 Corridor...5-314
5.19-2 Wetland Impacts Matrix Table for Section 2 Alternatives...5-315
5.19-3 Potential Wetland Impacts, by Wetland Site...5-323
5.19-4 Impacts to Wetlands by Wetland Type...5-325
5.19-5 Impacts to Ponds, By Alternatives...5-325
5.19-6 Stream Impacts, Lengths...5-326
5.19-7 Stream Impacts, Areas...5-326
5.19-8 Riparian Impacts, Areas...5-328



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Tables, continued

5.19-9	Floodplain Impacts by Alternative	5-329
5.19-10	Impaired Section 2 Streams.....	5-330
5.19-11	Required Wetland Mitigation by Alternative	5-333
5.19-12	Mean Pollutant Concentrations in Runoff from Rural Highways with Average Daily Traffic Less Than 30,000	5-334
5.19-13	Summary of Potential Impacts to Surface Waters, by Alternative.....	5-337
5.19-14	QHEI-HHEI Data and Potential Stream Impacts.....	5-341
5.20-1	Forest and Associated Wildlife Habitat Types in the Section 2 Corridor.....	5-349
5.20-2	Wetland Impacts in Forested Areas (Forested Wetlands)	5-351
5.20-3	Section 2 - Potential Direct Impacts to Forest Areas, by Alternative.....	5-353
5.20-4	Summary of Impacts to Core Forest Habitat.....	5-356
5.20-5	Summary of Forest Impacts and Potential Mitigation, by Alternative.....	5-361
5.24-1	USGS National Land Cover Database: Amount of Land Cover Types in Induced Growth TAZs.....	5-393
5.24-2	Number of Jobs, Households, and Acres Induced with I-69 in Section 2	5-395
5.24-3	Other Projected Growth Within Section 2 Geographic Scope (No-Build Scenario).....	5-399
5.24-4	Land Use Changes by 2030 for the No-Build Scenario	5-400
5.24-5	Numbers of Ephemeral, Intermittent, and Perennial Stream Crossings	5-422
5.24-6	Impacts of I-69 Section 2 and Other Major Projects Within the Section 2 Geographic Scope.....	5-427
5.24-7	Summary of Cumulative Land Use Changes to Farmlands	5-427
5.25-1	Energy Consumption in the Year 2030 for the No-Build and Build Scenarios	5-431

CHAPTER 6 –COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

6-1	Subsection 1 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-5
6-2	Subsection 2 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-6
6-3	Subsection 3 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-7
6-4	Subsection 4 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-9
6-5	Subsection 5 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-10
6-6	Subsection 6 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-11
6-7	Subsection 7 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-13
6-8	Subsection 8 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-14
6-9	Subsection 9 - Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts	6-15
6-10	Factors Considered for Location of Interchanges – Preferred Alternative	6-16
6-11	Reduction in Crashes Attributable to Interchange Scenarios	6-19
6-12	Cost Estimates for Alternatives, By Subsection (in millions of Year 2010 \$)	6-22
6-13	Comparative Impacts Summary – Section 2 Build Alternatives	6-33
6-14	Comparative Impacts Summary – Section 2 Alternatives	6-38
6-15	Comparison of Tier 1 FEIS Costs and Impacts to Those of Tier 2 Preferred Alternative	6-39



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Tables, continued

CHAPTER 7 – MITIGATION AND COMMITMENTS

7-1	Major Initiatives	7-2
7-2	Mitigation Cost Estimates for Section 2 Preferred Alternative, Year 2010.....	7-40

CHAPTER 11 – COMMENTS, COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

11-1	Summary of Comments Received at Open House	11-10
11-2	Section 2 Community Outreach Meetings.....	11-11
11-3	Project Office Visits and Public Comments.....	11-15
11-4	Section 1 Website Activity Summary	11-16
11-5	Tier 2 Coordination Meetings With Resource Agencies.....	11-31



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Figures

SUMMARY

S-1	Tier 1 Route Concepts	S-39
S-2	Tier 1 Alternatives Carried Forward for Detailed Study.....	S-40
S-3	Tier 1 Preferred Alternative 3C Showing Tier 2 Sections.....	S-41
S-4	I-69 Tier 2 Corridor Model Network.....	S-42
S-5	Section 2, Subsection 1	S-43
S-6	Section 2, Subsection 2	S-44
S-7	Section 2, Subsection 3	S-45
S-8	Section 2, Subsection 4	S-46
S-9	Section 2, Subsection 5	S-47
S-10	Section 2, Subsection 6	S-48
S-11	Section 2, Subsection 7	S-49
S-12	Section 2, Subsection 8	S-50
S-13	Section 2, Subsection 9	S-51

CHAPTER 1 – BACKGROUND

1-1	Tier 1 FEIS Alternatives, , with Study Area	1-14
1-2	Preferred Alternative 3C Showing Tier 2 Sections.....	1-15
1-3	Tier 2 Section 2.....	1-16
1-4	Typical Rural Landscape in Section 2.....	1-17
1-5	Typical Rural Landscape in Section 2.....	1-17
1-6	Patoka River Bottoms	1-18
1-7	Section 2 – Indianapolis Power and Light Smokestacks North of Petersburg	1-19

CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED

2-1	National I-69 Corridor.....	2-16
2-2	INDOT 2001 Plan – Planning Corridor Hierarchy	2-16
2-3	Indiana’s Commerce Corridors	2-17
2-4	2030 Forecasted No-Build LOS	2-18

CHAPTER 3 – ALTERNATIVES

3-1	Indiana Statewide Travel Demand Model Version 3 Network (I-69 Tier 1 Study)	3-7
3-2	Indiana Statewide Travel Demand Model Version 4 Network (I-69 Tier 2 Studies)	3-7
3-3	Indiana Statewide Travel Demand Model Version 3 TAZs.....	3-7
3-4	Indiana Statewide Travel Demand Model Version 4 TAZs.....	3-7
3-5	I-69 Tier 2 Corridor Model Network.....	3-8
3-6	Typical Sections, Local Roads	3-29
3-7	Typical Sections, I-69 and Arterial Roads	3-30
3-8	Alternatives 1 and 2(12 Sheets).....	3-31
3-9	Alternatives 1 and A, Side-by-side (13 Sheets)	3-43
3-10	Alternatives 2 and B, Side-by-side (13 Sheets)	3-56
3-11	Alternatives A and B (13 Sheets)	3-69
3-12	Proposed Tier 1 Interchanges – Section 2.....	3-82



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Figures, continued

3-13 Alternative Interchanges Considered – May and June 20083-83
3-14 Folded Diamond3-84
3-15 Interchange Configurations at SR 61/56.....3-85
3-16a Interchange Configurations at North Pike County – As Initially Proposed3-86
3-16b Interchange Configurations at North Pike County – As Carried Forward for Detailed Study3-86
3-17a Interchange Configurations at South Daviess County – As Initially Proposed.....3-87
3-17b Interchange Configurations at South Daviess County – As Carried Forward for Detailed Study.....3-88
3-18 Interchange Configurations at US 50.....3-89
3-19 Four Interchange Locations Carried Forward for Detailed Study.....3-90
3-20 Alternatives for EIS Evaluation-Subsection Locations3-105

CHAPTER 4 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

4.1-1 Section 2 Study Area4-3
4.2-1 General Land Use Patterns Within the Section 2 Corridor.....4-35
4.2-2 School Corporations Within the Section 2 Corridor.....4-36
4.2-3 Section 2 Churches, Cemeteries, and Parks4-37
4.2-4 Emergency Responders in the Section 2 Study Area4-38
4.2-5a Transportation Infrastructure Serving the Section 2 Study Area4-39
4.2-5b Transportation Infrastructure Serving the Section 2 Study Area4-40
4.3-1 Natural Regions in Relation to Section 2 Corridor4-66
4.3-2 Soil Associations Within Section 2 Corridor4-67
4.3-3 Coal Mining Operations and Oil Wells in Section 2.....4-68
4.3-4 Groundwater Wells Within Section 2 Corridor.....4-81
4.3-5 Impaired Streams, Section 2.....4-82
4.3-6 Old Field.....4-90
4.3-7 Early to Mid-Successional Forest.....4-91
4.3-8 Mesic Floodplain Forest4-91
4.3-9 Dry Mesic Forest.....4-91
4.3-10 Forest Fragment.....4-92
4.3-11 Mesic Upland Forest4-92
4.3-12 Emergent Wetlands.....4-92
4.3-13 Forest Wetlands4-93
4.3-14 Open Water.....4-93
4.3-15 Purple Fringeless Orchid (Platanthera peramoena).....4-93
4.3-16 Purple Milkwort (Polygala sanguinea)4-94
4.3-17 Five-Lined Skink (Eumeces fasciatus).....4-94
4.3-18 Southern Leopard Frog (Rana sphenocephala).....4-94
4.3-19 Classified Wildlife Habitat Area – Property A4-95
4.4-1 Wabash and Erie Canal4-105
4.4-2 Section 2 Cultural Resources.....4-106



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Figures, continued

CHAPTER 5 –ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

5.1-1 Tier 1 FEIS Alternatives, With Study Area5-12

5.1-2 Illustration of Study Band Corridor and Working Alignment5-13

5.1-3 Illustration of Study Band Corridor and Working Alignment5-14

5.1-4a Typical Section, State and County Roads.....5-15

5.1-4b Typical Section, State and County Roads.....5-16

5.1-5 Typical Section, “Low-Cost” Design Criteria5-17

5.2-1 Impacts to Brenton Chapel Church of Christ.....5-32

5.2-2 Brenton Chapel Church of Christ5-33

5.2-3 Impacts to the Antioch Christian Church.....5-34

5.2-4 Impacts to the Pride’s Creek Area5-35

5.2-5 Impacts to Cluster of Homes North of the East Fork of the White River5-36

5.3-1a Section 2 Impacts on Land Use5-61

5.3-1b Section 2 Impacts on Land Use5-62

5.3-1c Section 2 Impacts on Land Use5-63

5.3-1d Section 2 Impacts on Land Use5-64

5.3-1e Section 2 Impacts on Land Use5-65

5.3-1f Section 2 Impacts on Land Use5-66

5.3-2 Tier 2 Analysis of Indirect Land Use Changes Resulting from I-69.....5-67

5.3-3 Section 2 Interchange Locations.....5-68

5.3-4a SR 61/56 Interchange – Alternative A.....5-69

5.3-4b SR 61/56 Interchange – Alternative B.....5-70

5.3-5a North Pike County Interchange – Alternative A.....5-71

5.3-5b North Pike County Interchange – Alternative B.....5-72

5.3-6a South Daviess County Interchange – Alternative A5-73

5.3-6b South Daviess County Interchange – Alternative B5-74

5.3-7a US 50 Interchange – Alternative A.....5-75

5.3-7b US 50 Interchange – Alternative B.....5-76

5.3-8a Local Service Roads and Roads Closed.....5-77

5.3-8b Local Service Roads and Roads Closed.....5-78

5.3-8c Local Service Roads and Roads Closed.....5-79

5.3-8d Local Service Roads and Roads Closed.....5-80

5.3-8e Local Service Roads and Roads Closed.....5-81

5.5-1 Section 2 Study Area5-110

5.5-2 Tier 2 Analysis of Indirect Land Use Changes Resulting from I-69.....5-111

5.5-3 Section 2 – Six-County Purpose and Need Study Area.....5-112

5.6-1 Section 2 - 2030 Traffic Volumes (AADT) – Build Alternative, Two Interchanges ..5-128

5.7-5 High Power Transmission Lines.....5-138

5.7-6 Bifurcated Area along I-64 in Southern Indiana5-139

5.8-1 Section 2 Study Area5-148

5.9-1 Roadway/Receptor Diagram, “Hot-Spot” Analysis.....5-165

5.11-1 East Fork of the White River5-181

5.12-1 Typical Sediment Basin.....5-190



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Figures, continued

5.13-1a Patoka Bridge No. 246.....5-207
5.13-1b Patoka Bridge No. 81.....5-207
5.13-2 Thomas Singleton Round Barn.....5-208
5.13-3 SR 257 Chapman-Allison Farmstead.....5-208
5.13-4 SR 257 Bridge over Veale Creek.....5-209
5.15-1 Mineral Resources.....5-227
5.15-2 Pride’s Creek Lake Underground Mine Operation.....5-228
5.15-3 Section 2 Pipelines.....5-229
5.17-1 Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis).....5-282
5.17-2 American bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus).....5-282
5.17-3 Eastern fanshell mussel (Cyprogenia stegaria).....5-283
5.17-4 Crawfish frog (Rana areolata).....5-283
5.17-5 Northern harrier (Circus cyaneus).....5-284
5.17-6 Little brown bat (Myotis lucifugus).....5-284
5.17-7 Least weasel (Mustela nivalis).....5-285
5.17-8 Copperbelly water snake (Nerodia erythrogaster neglecta).....5-285
5.18-1 Section 2 - Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....5-298
5.18-2 Section 2 - Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....5-299
5.18-3 Section 2 - Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....5-300
5.18-4 Section 2 - Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....5-301
5.18-5 Section 2 - Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....5-302
5.18-6 Section 2 - Wildlife Habitat Impacts.....5-303
5.19-1 Major Wetland Crossings.....5-346a
5.19-2 Floodplain Forest Sec2-W11.....5-346b
5.19-3 Shrub/Scrub Wetland Sec2-W66.....5-346b
5.19-4 Aquatic Bed Sec2-W19.....5-346b
5.19-5 Emergent Wetland Sec2-W80.....5-346c
5.19-6 Isolated Water of the State.....5-346c
5.20-1 Forest Prior to Fragmentation / Forest After Fragmentation.....5-347
5.20-2 Diagram of Core Forest Habitat.....5-348
5.20-3a Section 2 Forest Impacts.....5-362
5.20-3b Section 2 Forest Impacts.....5-363
5.20-3c Section 2 Forest Impacts.....5-364
5.20-3d Section 2 Forest Impacts.....5-365
5.20-3e Section 2 Forest Impacts.....5-366
5.20-3f Section 2 Forest Impacts.....5-367
5.20-4 Impacts to Forest 032 and Core Forest Habitat.....5-368
5.20-5 Impacts to Forest 036 and Core Forest Habitat.....5-369
5.20-6 Impacts to Forest 062 and Core Forest Habitat.....5-370
5.20-7 Impacts to Forest 068 and Core Forest Habitat.....5-371
5.20-8 Impacts to Forest 078 and Core Forest Habitat.....5-372
5.20-9 Impacts to Forest 118 and Core Forest Habitat.....5-373
5.22-1 Classified Wildlife Habitat Area – Property A.....5-381
5.22-2 Corridor Crossing of the Patoka National Wildlife Refuge.....5-381



Table of Contents, continued

Volume I

List of Figures, continued

5.23-1 Typical Wetland.....5-384
 5.23-2 Typical Erosion During Construction.....5-387
 5.24-1 Induced Growth in Section 2.....5-428
 5.24-2 Historic Trend of Land in Farms in the Three Counties of Section 25-404
 5.24-3 Historic Trend of Forest Land in the Three Counties of Section 25-405
 5.24-4 Future Trend of Land in Farms in the Three Counties of Section 2.....5-411
 5.24-5 Future Trend of Land in Forest in Section 2.....5-412

CHAPTER 6 –COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

6-1 Section 2 Subsection Locations6-23
 6-2 Section 2, Subsection 16-24
 6-3 Section 2, Subsection 26-25
 6-4 Section 2, Subsection 36-26
 6-5 Section 2, Subsection 46-27
 6-6 Section 2, Subsection 56-28
 6-7 Section 2, Subsection 66-29
 6-8 Section 2, Subsection 76-30
 6-9 Section 2, Subsection 86-31
 6-10 Section 2, Subsection 96-32
 6-11 Section 2 Preferred Alternative Key Environmental Impacts (6 sheets)6-42

CHAPTER 7 – MITIGATION AND COMMITMENTS

7-1 Wetland Before Construction7-41
 7-2 Wetland During Construction7-41
 7-3 Wetland During Monitoring Stage7-41
 7-4 Example of Wildlife Crossing Under Highway.....7-41

CHAPTER 8 – SECTION 4(f)

8-1 Patoka National Wildlife Refuge8-12
 8-2 Patoka Bridges Historic District.....8-13
 8-3 Patoka Bridge No. 246.....8-14
 8-4 Patoka Bridge No. 81.....8-14

CHAPTER 11 – COMMENTS, COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

11-1 Key Resource Agency and Public Involvement Meetings.....11-34
 11-2 Section 2 Conceptual Interchange Locations11-35
 11-3 US 50 Interchange Configurations.....11-36
 11-4 Antioch Christian Church – Original and Current/Proposed Alignments.....11-36
 11-5 Benton Chapel Church.....11-37



Table of Contents, continued

Volume II—Appendices

(Located on Compact Disk inside Front Cover of Volume I)

A	Business Needs Survey
B	Agency Coordination Correspondence
C	Cost Estimation Methodology
D	Traffic Modeling Technical Report
E	Governmental Correspondence (Other Than Resource Agencies)
F	Section 106 Documentation
G	Survey of Churches
H	Air Quality Technical Report
I	Indiana Bat Surveys
J	Draft Wetland Assessment Report
K	Draft Stream Assessment Report
L	Revised Tier 1 Biological Opinion
M	Preferred Alternative Drawings
N	Bird Survey
O	Measures to Minimize Harm, Patoka Bridges Historic District
P	Revised Tier 1 Conceptual Forest and Wetland, Mitigation and Enhancement Plan
Q	Community Planning Program
R	INDOT Standard Operating Procedures for Asbestos, Roadway De-Icing and Abandoned Wells (Water, Gas, Oil)
S	Median Width and Safety
T	Expert Land Use Panel Meeting Minutes
U	I-69 and Patoka National Wildlife Refuge – History of Joint Development
V	INDOT's Long Range Plan Update Sensitivity Analysis.



BLANK PAGE